# Goose Creek Consolidated Independent School District George Washington Carver Elementary

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Public Presentation Date: November 3, 2022

### **Mission Statement**

G.W. Carver Elementary will provide scholars with rigorous instruction that will promote academic success in a nurturing environment.

## Vision

At Carver we believe that ...

- In order to ensure success for every scholar we will implement a guaranteed viable and rigorous curriculum that meets the evolving needs of our scholars while prioritizing active engagement and reflective problem solving. -- RIGOR
- In order to ensure success for every scholar all decision-making processes will be based on the best interest of our scholars and their learning. -- SUCCESS
- We are committed to utilizing our resources and facilities to develop educational opportunities as we work to connect the community and school by providing opportunities for parents and others to inform and support the vision and values of Carver Elementary. -- COMMUNITY CONNECTION
- We will promote social emotional learning and a healthy life balance as we nurture continuous improvement and growth for all scholars. -- SOCIAL EMOTIONAL LEARNING
- Our culture of continuous improvement will be driven by collective inquiry, innovative instructional practices, and learning centered assessment and grading practices. -CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

### **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	9
Goals	11
Goal 1: Carver Elementary will increase student achievement by providing rigorous learning opportunities and curricula that meets students' needs for achieving exemplary	
academic and post-secondary success.	12
Goal 2: Carver Elementary will provide a well-balanced and appropriate curriculum to all students.	14
Goal 3: Carver Elementary, through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, will work to ensure that all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.	16
Goal 4: Carver Elementary will provide and maintain a safe and positive learning environment.	18
Goal 5: Carver Elementary will recruit, develop, and retain highly effective personnel.	20
Goal 6: Carver Elementary will establish and maintain parental and community partnerships in education to enhance student achievement.	21
Goal 7: Carver Elementary will provide the technology infrastructure and tools to maximize student achievement.	23
Campus Funding Summary	24

### **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

### Demographics

#### **Demographics Summary**

Overall, the data that was considered indicates that our ethnic, socioeconomic and gender populations have had some fluctuations.

Carver's SPED population has increased. The Gifted and Talented population has decreased in the past 3-5 years. The bilingual population has also decreased. Our Free and Reduced lunch program is currently at 87.4%.

There are activities in place to help promote increased attendance with follow up by teachers and administrators.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Carver has been able to identify students that need help in particular academic areas through SPED evaluations. Carver's SPED population has increased because of this and these students now receive specialized instruction to learn skills that are needed to help them be successful in school and outside of school.

Carver's GT population was low this year. Carver increased the number of students that are being evaluated for the GT program. This will help identify these students and allow them access to the services they need.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

Problem Statement 1: Student attendance is an ongoing concern Root Cause: Helping parents understand how critical is for their child is difficult to accomplish.

### **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

- Significant achievement loss at all Math/Reading (3-5th grade)@2019 & 2021 STAAR data.
- Only met standard at Math 5th grade GT/White (met standard or above)
- Math highest loss/decrease at 3rd grade
- Math= 54.88%
- Reading =58.56% (that is 9% from 2019)

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

- Formative assessments based on units of study
- Data based AI
- AI/PLC built into master schedule
- After school tutorials based on data
- RTI meetings are occurring regularly and students are being moved appropriately in grades K-2.
- Campus At risk interventionist
- Campus Instructional Coach

### **School Processes & Programs**

#### School Processes & Programs Summary

- Third through Fifth grade classrooms use Benchmark data to determine/drive their instruction and Kinder through second grade use different instruments, such as CLI, TPRI, Sight Word and DRA testing which impacts a systemic process for planning since the testing is not consistent.
- Teachers would like more frequent progress monitoring in the lower grades.
- Upper grades are teaching students to track their own progress and create their own goals.
- Kindergarten- 2nd grade feel there needs to be more uniformity in the area of CFA development and DRA assessments.
- The assumption is that the resources and materials provided by the district are research based and that it is all aligned with the TEKS.
- In the area of Professional Development, teachers feel that they are seeing the same "things" over and over. They would like to have PD sessions that are more practical such as Make and Take.
- Not all teachers have a solid understanding of how to develop Language Objectives (LOs). Bilingual teachers are not utilizing Summit K-12 as a resource for English Language development.
- There needs to be more attention given to the instructional levels of students in the classroom. Where are they when they enter the classroom and where do they need to be at the end of year? Most teachers, at Carver, appear to be cognizant of the level of urgency; however, not all. Students do not appear to realize how critical their academic levels are to their success.
- Tutorials need to continue being a priority, but there seems to be inconsistency and lack of structure during this time.
- Teachers are concerned about the campus "starting new things", but not following through with them or providing enough support to implement the new changes.
- There is some inconsistencies with teachers implementation of the new concepts.

#### School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Most teachers are utilizing AI appropriately.
- Students are more involved in their progress and tracking their progress.
- 3rd-5th grade assessments are being used effectively.
- Teachers understand that the expectation is that interventions, reteaching and changes within instructional groups should be reviewed frequently, when students have mastered a skill or they are not being effective.

#### Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** There are varied levels of inconsistencies in the area of assessments and rigor of assessments in the lower grades, K-2. **Root Cause:** Teachers are not clear about the expectations in the area of rigor.

Problem Statement 2: Not all students/parents understand the importance/urgency of academic success. Root Cause: Lack of understanding about the importance of teacher clarity and Learning Intentions.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Campus level criteria needs to be created and implemented in order to identify all students that need RTI. Root Cause: Students that are in need of interventions are not being identified early enough within the RTI process to ensure they are receiving the appropriate services to meet their needs.

### Perceptions

#### **Perceptions Summary**

Overall the committee thinks the school has a good perception with parents and the community. The committee would like to see the school help the parents engage in more activities that help their students improve academically. The other concerns could be resolved with the addition of a PTO to our school.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

The school is very welcoming and safe. The committee thought the staff and students were very safe at the school. The school does not have a bullying problem.

## **Priority Problem Statements**

Problem Statement 2: There are varied levels of inconsistencies in the area of assessments and rigor of assessments in the lower grades, K-2.Root Cause 2: Teachers are not clear about the expectations in the area of rigor.Problem Statement 2 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Campus level criteria needs to be created and implemented in order to identify all students that need RTI.Root Cause 1: Students that are in need of interventions are not being identified early enough within the RTI process to ensure they are receiving the appropriate services to meet their needs.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: School Processes & Programs

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- · Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- · Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data

- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

#### Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Other additional data

## Goals

**Goal 1:** Carver Elementary will increase student achievement by providing rigorous learning opportunities and curricula that meets students' needs for achieving exemplary academic and post-secondary success.

Performance Objective 1: 90% of students will show growth on their grade level district assessments and respective state assessments.

Evaluation Data Sources: Screeners, CBA reports, BMK reports, State Assessment Data Reports

Strategy 1 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 1: Collaborate in Professional Learning Communities(PLC) to target specific TEKS and implement plans and coaching support for		Formative		
<ul> <li>closing achievement gaps in all content areas.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students receive a rigorous curriculum based upon best practice options on a daily basis.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators         Teaching and Learning Coach         Title I:         2.6     </li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		ews	
Strategy 2: Grade level Professional Learning Communities (PLC) will be utilized to create a continuous review of data to determine	Formative			
<ul> <li>interventions and/or enrichment opportunities that are needed to meet the needs of all students.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will make significant growth towards specific weaknesses identified through the PLC process.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	June	
Title I: 2.5 Funding Sources: Supplemental Materials and Supplies - Coordination of Local and State Funds - Bilingual/ESL Funds - \$700, Supplemental Materials and Supplies - Coordination of Local and State Funds - GT Funds - \$250, Supplemental Materials and Supplies - Coordination of Local and State Funds - Special Education Funds - \$400				

Strategy 3 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>	
Strategy 3: Through weekly Professional Learning Communities (PLC), teachers will utilize data walls and weekly check for understandings		Formative	
(exit ticket data) to track the progress of all students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Allow data to drive best practice strategies in all classrooms to ensure student growth occurs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	views
<ul> <li>Strategy 4: Provide accelerated instruction for all students not meeting campus, district, and state expectations as needed in math, reading, and science with an emphasis on interventions.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement gaps are closed and progress/growth occurs.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach</li> </ul>	Nov	Formative Feb	June
Title I: 2.4			
Strategy 5 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>	
Strategy 5: Provide coaching support and resources for classroom teachers with an emphasis on teachers in the profession 1-3 years.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide the appropriate interventions to meet the needs of at risk students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Rev	views
Strategy 6: Provide additional planning opportunities for dual language teachers in grades K-2nd.		Formative	:
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Dual Language teachers will work collaboratively to ensure student success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 7: Carver Elementary teachers will benefit from professional growth through the implementation of a community of practice.		Formative	
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build the knowledge and capacity of teachers within and across grade levels through the sharing of best practices within the school community.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	June

Strategy 8 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>	
Strategy 8: Our AVID Site team will work to increase the current application of the agenda assessment tool by 80% in order to increase	Formative		
student organization to promote student success.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student academic achievement in grades 3-5.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
trategy 9: Through the problem of practice, teachers will implement various strategies (ie: talking chips, turn and talk, etc.) to increase		Formative	
student engagement through academic conversations.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in student engagement will ensure an increase in student understanding and achievement.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Teaching and Learning Coach			
$\odot$ No Progress $\odot$ Accomplished $\rightarrow$ Continue/Modify $X$ Discontinue	e		

Goal 2: Carver Elementary will provide a well-balanced and appropriate curriculum to all students.

**Performance Objective 1:** Ensure that 100% of the teachers receive high-quality professional development each year to ensure student success both academically and social emotionally.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR scores, Benchmark scores, Eduphoria, Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, LPAC, TELPAS scores

Strategy 1 Details	Fo	<b>Formative Reviews</b>	
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize the guided reading model and balanced literacy initiative to incorporate strategies into all K-5 classrooms.	Formative		
Teachers will utilize a goal and tracking system/portfolio to monitor student progress.	Nov	Nov Feb	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be on grade level or make significant growth in reading.	1107	100	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Teaching and Learning Coach			
Funding Sources: Campus Instructional Specialists coaching costs - Coordination of Local, State, and Federal Funds - Title I, Part A Funds - \$120,000			
Strategy 2 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	views
Strategy 2: Teachers in grades Kindergarten and 3rd-5th grades will utilize the guided math model and consistently implement strategies into	Formative		:
the Math classroom.	Nov	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be on grade level or make significant growth towards the goal.			
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach			
Strategy 3 Details	Fo	rmative Rev	views
Strategy 3: Support and monitor the implementation of the dual language program expectations and curriculum.		Formative	:
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure the Dual Language curriculum is implemented in a collaborative and highly effective manner to positively impact student performance.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Teaching and Learning Coach			
Strategy 4 Details	Foi	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Implementation of best practices in the ESL/Bilingual classrooms.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show one years growth as indicated on the TELPAS composite score.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	<b> </b>	ł	+

Teaching and Learning Coach					
	No Progress	Accomplished	 X Discontinue	e	

Goal 3: Carver Elementary, through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, will work to ensure that all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

Performance Objective 1: G. W. Carver Elementary will provide intervention activities to identified at risk students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance reports, student data reports, posted calendar of events

Strategy 1 Details	Fo	<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 1: Student Support Team will meet weekly to monitor student academic, behavior, and other wellness needs. The team will provide		Formative		
ongoing student /parent support for identified areas of need. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student academic, behavior, and attendance percentages Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	Nov	Feb	June	
Title I:         2.6         Funding Sources: Payroll costs for Campus Student Success Specialist - Coordination of Local, State, and Federal Funds - Title I,         Part A Funds - \$60,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		iews	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> The CSSS, CYS, counselor, and attendance clerk will work collaboratively to monitor, document and complete paperwork to address attendance concerns proactively.	Nov	Formative Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Complying with and following the state guidelines Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Increased recognition and incentives to increase daily attendance goals (97% or higher).	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student motivation to attend daily Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy 4 Details	Fo	rmative Revi	iews	
Strategy 4: Determine and implement accelerated instruction/interventions by identifying needs through data driven decisions.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Teaching and Learning Coach				
Funding Sources: Payroll costs for tutorials for intervention - Coordination of Local and State Funds - SCE Funds - \$11,000				

Strategy 5 Details	Foi	<b>Formative Reviews</b>	
Strategy 5: Conduct an effective and efficient RTI process to identify students in need.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure student's needs are identified and met through a continuous process of feedback and implementation.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
Title I:			
2.6			
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 6: Carver Elementary will pilot the AVID program to enhance college and career readiness in grades 3-5.		Formative	
	New	Feb	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Through the use of AVID strategies we will increase student awareness and preparedness of college and career.	Nov	reb	

**Performance Objective 1:** G. W. Carver Elementary will provide a safe learning environment for all students through counseling programs and a school-wide discipline management plan that supports district initiatives and is focused on high expectations for student behavior.

Evaluation Data Sources: Lesson plans, student discipline reports, bullying incidents, academic performance and observations

Strategy 1 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 1: Implement Character Strong with fidelity across all grade levels. Include student/parent education sessions, teacher awareness		Formative		
program, and monitoring of discipline data through PBIS.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Awareness of types of bullying and the prevention of incidents.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselor				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Increase positive staff morale through a variety of incentives.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create and encourage a positive school culture.	Nov	Feb	Jun	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide a safe learning environment through utilizing the PBIS model to establish and sustain genuine, respectful relationships		Formative		
between students, parents and staff.	Nov	Feb	Jun	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Create a positive environment where students thrive social emotionally as well as academically.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselor				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Implement the Active Recess initiative to increase student wellness and conflict resolution strategies amongst the student body.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in student conflict on the playground as well as an increase in active play.	Nov	Feb	Jun	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
PBIS team				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 5 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 5: Create vertically aligned houses to promote PBIS through a "teaming" system.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase in student motivation to make positive behavioral choices.	Nov	Feb	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
PBIS team Counselor			
Image: No Progress     Image: Accomplished     Image: Continue/Modify     Image: Continue/Modify	e	1	

Goal 5: Carver Elementary will recruit, develop, and retain highly effective personnel.

Performance Objective 1: Recruit and retain highly effective teachers.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Staff Reports

Strategy 1 Details	Foi	<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 1: Assure all assignments are filled with highly effective staff by attending job fairs and early recruitment from the pool of highly		Formative		
effective teachers in core academic subject areas.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Recruit and retain highly effective staff				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews		iews	
Strategy 2: Evaluate campus Teacher Mentorship program and make changes to improve the program efforts to retain highly effective		Formative		
teachers.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support and retain highly effective staff				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		iews	
Strategy 3: Encourage teachers to become ESL and GT certified to ensure the diverse needs of the student population are met.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support and retain highly effective staff with the necessary certifications to serve the diverse student population.	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Assess through mid-year surveys and provide staff development needs and opportunities prior to the end of the year.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support and retain highly effective staff	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Teaching and Learning Coach				
No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e	1		

Performance Objective 1: Facilitate parental involvement in order to help increase the completion rate.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent surveys, attendance reports, discipline referrals, parent contact log, attendance data, newsletters.

Strategy 1 Details	For	<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 1: The campus Parent and Family Engagement Policy and the School Compact will be jointly developed and updated periodically		Formative		
with parents in order to meet the changing needs of the community, family and the school. These documents will be distributed to parents and family members, as well as made available to the local community, in an understandable and uniform format.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent participation				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators CSSS				
Title I:				
4.1				
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: The campus will convene an annual Title I meeting as well as engage parents in meaningful ways, to support student academic		Formative		
progress through parent-teacher conferences, family nights, and other parent-related services. The campus will schedule these opportunities at times that will optimize participation by parents and family members.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student academic success				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Student Success Specialist				
Title I: 4.2				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Materials for parent academic sessions - Coordination of Local, State, and Federal Funds - Title I, Part A Funds - \$750, Campus Student Success Specialist to support parent academic training sessions - Coordination of Local, State, and Federal Funds - Title I, Part A Funds - \$4,000				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Structure parent orientation sessions to inform parents about tools that can provide information of student progress (i.e. Lunch		Formative		
Money Now, Parent Portal).	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in positive responses on end of year parent survey.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Campus Student Success Specialist				

Strategy 4 Details		<b>Formative Reviews</b>		
Strategy 4: Conduct parent training sessions that address a variety of topics determined by the beginning of year survey with a focus on	Formative			
students with prior attendance concerns.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase positive parental involvement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Campus Student Success Specialist				
Strategy 5 Details	Formative Reviews		iews	
Strategy 5: Ensure communication between the school and home through the use of daily/weekly folders in all grades K-5 and publish		Formative		
school-wide newsletters.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Student Achievement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 6 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 6: Communicate with families regarding behavior (both positive and negative) using students' daily folders, behavior charts and		Formative		
parent conferences, as well as use positive incentives, to promote good behavior and attendance. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Student Achievement	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 7 Details	Foi	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 7: Recruit and encourage participation in PTO and Parent Volunteer Programs.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parental involvement	Nov	Feb	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Strategy 8 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 8: Collaborate with community members and organizations to create and maintain partnerships to support students as life long	Formative			
learners.	Nov	Feb	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Allow collaboration amongst all stakeholders				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
$\sim$ No Progress $\sim$ Accomplished $\rightarrow$ Continue/Modify $\times$ Discontin	ue			

Goal 7: Carver Elementary will provide the technology infrastructure and tools to maximize student achievement.

Performance Objective 1: We will utilize and integrate technology into content and intervention blocks to maximize student achievement.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Screeners, Amplify Intervention, Education Galaxy

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Utilize educational programs to differentiate instruction and provide instructional support for our at-risk population.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use prescriptive technology as an intervention tool to support struggling students and close the achievement con	Nov	Feb	June
the achievement gap. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e		

## **Campus Funding Summary**

	Coordination of Local, State, and Federal Funds								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount				
2	1	1	Campus Instructional Specialists coaching costs	Title I, Part A Funds	\$120,000.00				
3	1	1	Payroll costs for Campus Student Success Specialist	Title I, Part A Funds	\$60,000.00				
6	1	2	Materials for parent academic sessions	Title I, Part A Funds	\$750.00				
6	1	2	Campus Student Success Specialist to support parent academic training sessions	Title I, Part A Funds	\$4,000.00				
	•			Sub-Total	\$184,750.00				
	Coordination of Local and State Funds								
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount				
1	1	2	Supplemental Materials and Supplies	Bilingual/ESL Funds	\$700.00				
1	1	2	Supplemental Materials and Supplies 5	Special Education Funds	\$400.00				
1	1	2	Supplemental Materials and Supplies	GT Funds	\$250.00				
3	1	4	Payroll costs for tutorials for intervention 5	SCE Funds	\$11,000.00				
Sub-Total					\$12,350.00				