



PLANNING
ENGINEERING
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Est. 1935
AUSTIN
COLLEGE STATION
DALLAS
FORT WORTH
HOUSTON
MIAMI
MIDWEST
PHOENIX
SACRAMENTO
SAN ANTONIO
SAN MARCOS
WACO

Project No.:	170-10792-000		Routing
Project:	Goose Creek Consolidated ISD 2013 Bond Program Management		
Client:	Goose Creek Consolidated ISD (GCCISD)		
Conference Time, Date:	4:00 pm, January 28, 2016		
Conference Location:	FMC Meeting Room Baytown, TX 77521		
Attendees:	Committee Members	District	Out of District
	Daryl Fontenot	Anthony Price	JP Grom / LAN
	Michael Beard	Matt Flood	Terre Musgrove / LAN
	Angela Chandler	Bruce Riggs	Olivia Hamel / LAN
	Brennon Marsh	Kathy VanDerBeek	Dowen Sims / LAN
	Chet Thiess	Margie Grimes	Van Franks / LAN
		Carl Burg	Matt Brown / JMB2
		Brenda Garcia	
		Randal O'Brien	
		Ray Brown	
		Brenda Villanueva	
		Tom Ortman	
		Carl Burg	

Purpose:	CBAC Meeting

Welcome

- No previous CBAC meeting minutes were approved due to lack of members present to create a quorum.

Technology Progress

- Mr. Matt Flood briefly went through the status of the Technology Department's goals:
 - Goals #1 and #2 – wireless and network infrastructure – ongoing in the junior schools
 - Goal #4 – network printers – currently working on proposals to seek an endorsement in the spring
 - Goal #5 – administrative computers – mostly complete
 - Goal #6 – communications – new phones installed, currently working to cancel old phone services
 - Goal #7 – surveillance - In phase 4, finishing at RSS and then moving to GCM
 - Goal #8 – video distribution – currently evaluating solutions
 - Goal #9 and #10 – core and non-core content classrooms – work completed, just maintaining equipment as needed
 - Goal #11 – mobile devices – 4th and 5th grade devices in use, should be replacing 6th grade devices in during the summer
 - Goal #12 – computer labs – working on projector replacement
 - Goal #13 – libraries – working on next round of purchases

PLANNING
ENGINEERING
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Est. 1935
AUSTIN
COLLEGE STATION
DALLAS
FORT WORTH
HOUSTON
MIAMI
MIDWEST
PHOENIX
SACRAMENTO
SAN ANTONIO
SAN MARCOS
WACO

Completed Projects

1. Mr. JP Grom then noted that other than punch lists and close out items, the MEP Groups 1, 2, & 3 projects, the Elevator, and the Fire and Life Safety projects are all complete.

Construction Progress

1. Mr. Grom said the Windows project at Lee is approximately 90% complete and showed corresponding photos.
2. Mr. Grom then showed photos of the Security Vestibules project work underway which included the Lee ramp and fencing and gate work at Horace Mann.
3. Mr. Michael Beard asked what percentage complete the Vestibule project currently stood. Mr. Grom explained the Vestibule project has been broken into different groups based on priority, and work progresses from group to group.
4. Mr. Grom stated the handrails for Stallworth Stadium are approximately 60% complete and showed corresponding photos.
5. Mr. Grom noted ground has just been broken for the Goose Creek Memorial Addition (GCM) project with regards to preparing for foundation and subgrade drainage systems work. Photos were also shown for the work on the GCM addition project.

Design

1. Mr. Matt Brown with JMB2 went over his Transportation Center design. Mr. Brown began by showing a slide of the Transportation Center design on the Stallworth site location that was Board approved and noted he will be moving out to bid next month.
2. Mr. Brown pointed out some places where he was able to save on concrete.
3. He also noted two added gates that can accommodate overflow parking, for a total of 500 spaces for visitor parking.
4. Mr. Brown then went over a slide detailing the Transportation Center schedule, noting completion and owner move-in by February 2017.
5. Ms. Angela Chandler asked if the logistics had been worked out for construction to continue through the football season of 2016, to which Mr. Brown confirmed.
6. Ms. Brenda Garcia stated the current estimated cost for the Transportation Center is still in line with the Board approved budget.
7. Ms. Garcia noted the Transportation Center budget slide displayed a breakdown of the deductive costs that Mr. Brown discussed earlier.
8. She also pointed out a breakdown of a few added cost items. One including a possible left hand turn lane on Sjolander, however, she explained that issue was yet to be determined by the traffic impact analysis.
9. Mr. Daryl Fontenot asked if the alternative instructional lab, at a cost of \$185 thousand, was originally part of the Bond. Ms. Garcia confirmed it was part of the Bond, but part of the Stuart-Kilgore Repurpose.
10. Mr. Randal O'Brien asked when the estimated time for the ground-breaking would be for the Transportation Center. Ms. Garcia responded in May. She also explained that portables will begin to be re-located ahead of May, showing progress on the project.
11. Mr. Grom then explained the Stuart-Kilgore Repurpose project will have different phases, with the first being the auto and tech building. He noted phase 2 will be the culinary arts, phase 3 will be the welding and construction labs and phase 4 will be the remainder of the existing Kilgore building.
12. Mr. Grom explained the phases were important so the students could be moved as needed as work on the project progresses.
13. Mr. Grom next went over several slides of detailed floor plans for the Stuart-Kilgore Repurpose project.
14. Mr. Grom then went over the schedule for all the phases of the Stuart-Kilgore Repurpose project, noting a completion date of August 2017.

PLANNING
ENGINEERING
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Est. 1935
AUSTIN
COLLEGE STATION
DALLAS
FORT WORTH
HOUSTON
MIAMI
MIDWEST
PHOENIX
SACRAMENTO
SAN ANTONIO
SAN MARCOS
WACO

15. Mr. Grom stated the estimated cost for the Stuart-Kilgore Repurpose project is still approximately \$4 million below the amount available in the Bond.
16. Mr. Grom next discussed the Technology Center project, explaining it should be ready to bid within the next 2 months.
17. Ms. Garcia noted the "Technology Center" was now the new name of the previous "Data Center" project. Mr. Randal O'Brien explained this was a security change.
18. Mr. Fontenot asked when the District expected ground to be broken for the Data Center project, to which Mr. Grom stated late spring to early summer.
19. Mr. Grom confirmed for Mr. Beard a 12 month estimated construction time for the Data Center.
20. Next, Mr. Grom stated the architect for the Sterling High School Library/Cafeteria/CTE Expansion project has been hired and is in the very early stages of design, anticipating a more detailed concept of design to show by the next CBAC meeting.

Planning

1. Mr. Grom said the District and LAN is still in the discussion phase regarding space and budget for the Green Center / TMS project. He noted an architect is not yet on board for this project.
2. Mr. Grom stated the District made site visits to other district's agriculture science facilities to gather information on what GCCISD will need for its facility. He explained the District and LAN are currently in discussion to decide the District's final site and facility needs.
3. Mr. Fontenot asked when the District might have a confirmed site for the new agriculture science building, to which Mr. O'Brien answered most likely by the next CBAC meeting.
4. Mr. O'Brien explained the District currently only has one Agriculture teacher and is evaluating the future needs for the District Agriculture program.
5. Mr. Grom then went over the Project Groupings slide, pointing out cost savings on the MEP projects. He pointed out a current bottom line total of \$298 thousand available under the Bond amount.
6. Next, Mr. Grom briefly went over the master schedule, noting most of the Bond projects should be complete in 2017, with only close-out items remaining. He explained this is a year ahead of schedule.

Follow Up Items

1. Mr. Grom went over the financial slides in the packet at Mr. Beard's request.
2. Ms. Garcia stated the District is currently reviewing the close-out documents of the 3 new elementary schools.
3. Ms. Chandler asked if completing the Bond early would possibly have a negative effect, to which Mrs. Margie Grimes answered no.
4. Mr. Beard expressed his unhappiness with the Board having passed information before it was presented to the CBAC. He stated the CBAC should not just meet for endorsements and should at least receive a financial recap at the minimum. Mr. Fontenot explained he was part of a pre-CBAC meeting where it is discussed if those cancelled meetings should be held, or if there was not enough information to call a meeting. Ms. Garcia stated the District will provide at least the financial recap to the CBAC in the future.
5. Ms. Chandler stated she was happy with the presentation.
6. Next meeting will be February 25th at 4 PM.