

PLANNING

ENGINEERING

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT Est. 1935 AUSTIN COLLEGE STATION DALLAS FORT WORTH HOUSTON MIAMI MIDWEST PHOENIX SACRAMENTO SAN ANTONIO SAN MARCOS WACO

Project No.:	170-10792-000	Routing					
Project:	Goose Creek Consolidated ISD 2013 Bond Program Management						
Client:	Goose Creek Consolidated ISD (GCCISD)						
Conference Time, Date:	4:00 pm, September 22, 2016						
Conference Location:	FMC Meeting Room Baytown, TX 77521						
Attendees:	Committee Members	District	Out of District				
	Daryl Fontenot	Randal O'Brien	JP Grom / LAN				
	Angela Chandler	Anthony Price	Terre Musgrove / LAN				
	Judge Don Coffey	Matt Flood	Erwin Enojado / LAN				
	Dave Smith	Brenda Garcia	Olivia Martin / LAN				
	Steve Fess	Bruce Riggs	Laura Sachtleben / Stantec				
	Brennon Marsh	Tom Ortman	Ben Sauceda / Stantec				
	Gina Rivon	Carl Burg					
	Chet Theiss Steven Gonzales	Brenda Garcia					
	Michael Beard	Kathy VanDerBeek Renea Dillon					
		Renea Dillon Ray Brown					

Purpose:	CBAC Meeting		

Technology Progress

- Mr. Matt Flood gave a status update on the Technology Department noting network infrastructure is currently being worked on at the junior schools. He explained new printers have arrived to the District warehouse and will be delivered soon. Mr. Flood also said library equipment had been ordered and was en route for the library upgrades.
- 2. Mr. Flood also explained the Technology Department was also in the process of deploying the video distribution system, pointing out there had been training earlier this week.
- 3. Judge Don Coffey inquired if it was a correct assessment that there were teachers within the District that did not know how to use their Promethean boards. Mr. Flood stated the District offers training that is accessible to teachers at any time and explained the boards come with software and can also be used with a website.
- 4. Mr. Flood confirmed there was no electronic way to monitor how often the technology in the classrooms is being used. Judge Coffey expressed his concern for money spent on technology that was not being utilized the maximum capacity.

Completed Projects

- 1. Mr. Erwin Enojado stated the MEP Groups 1, 2, & 3 projects are completed, other than the District waiting to receive the as-built drawings and pay applications in order to close the project.
- 2. Mr. Enojado noted the same status was true for the 2015 Fire and Life Safety project, completed and waiting on as-builts and final pay application to be closed. He did point out this project now had 2015 added to its title, since the District has begun a new 2016 Fire and Life Safety project.

2925 Briarpark, Suite 400 Houston, TX 77042 TEL 713.266.6900 www.lan-inc.com



A LEO A DALY COM	ΡΑΝΥ	
PLANNING ENGINEERING	3.	He next said the Lee High School Windows project had been completed, with the exception of the two front doors that still need to be installed.
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1.	Construction Progress For the Security Vestibules project, Mr. Enojado stated 5 campuses will have a walk-through with
		the architect, KAH, the week of September 26 th . He explained construction was progressing successfully with another 5 schools to have walk-throughs mid-October.
Est. 1935 AUSTIN COLLEGE STATION	2.	He stated the Goose Creek Memorial addition (GCM) project construction was ongoing with face brick installation, HVAC, painting and sheet rock installation. He noted the scheduled date of
DALLAS FORT WORTH HOUSTON	3.	substantial completion is set for November 21 st . Mr. Enojado said work on the Emergency Lighting and Lighting Controls project began September 6 th at Lee and work continues.
MIAMI MIDWEST	4.	Mr. Enojado said the District is currently working through the process of signing the contract for the 2016 Fire Alarm, Intercom & Sound systems.
PHOENIX SACRAMENTO SAN ANTONIO SAN MARCOS WACO	5.	Mr. Enojado stated the Hopper playground fall zone had been completely installed. He then pointed out the San Jacinto playground concrete had been poured and the fall zone would be installed the week of September 26 th . Mr. Enojado clarified that a fall zone was a rubberized type of mulch material placed on top of the concrete for safety. Ms. Garcia noted she believed some of the mulch was recycled material.
	6.	Mr. Enojado then showed some slides with pictures of the Security Vestibules project, GCM addition, Hopper and San Jacinto playgrounds and Transportation Center.
	7.	Judge Coffey inquired about the City reviewing drawings for the Transportation Center. Ms. Brenda Garcia clarified the City was currently reviewing the Transportation Center Civil drawings. Mr. Randal O'Brien stated no approval was needed by the City, as all aspects have already been approved by the County. However, Mr. O'Brien noted the District is keeping the City informed in order to maintain a good relationship with the City. Mr. Bruce Riggs explained the only thing the City will need to provide is water. Judge Coffey expressed his concern for not obtaining water if the City did not approve the civil drawings.
	1.	Design Mr. Enojado stated EMA is currently working to complete the 50% drawings for the MEP Package 4 project. He stated the District should review those drawings by mid-October, and have the drawings 100% completed by December 2016.
	2.	For the Stuart Career Center & Kilgore Repurpose project, Mr. Enojado stated the District expects to receive bids the week of September 26 th . He said he anticipates mid-November to finalize a contract and the beginning of December to issue a Notice to proceed on the project. Mr. Enojado noted this work will be for the Phase 1 Kilgore project only. He explained as the Phase 1 Kilgore phase is being bid, Pfluger Architects will continue to design the Stuart phase, so as to bid out in January 2017.
	3.	Mr. Enojado said the District reviewed 50% CD drawings September 22 nd for the Sterling Cafeteria Addition, Library and CTE Renovations project, placing GMC pricing around mid-November and January 2017 for work to proceed.
	4.	Mr. Enojado then stated that the Technology Center bids had come in over budget twice. He informed the Committee the District was now considering two options with which to proceed. He explained the first option would be to negotiate a contract with the highest ranking bidder, and the second option would be to co-locate the Technology Center. He explained co-locating as leasing a space elsewhere for the Network Operations Center (NOC).

- A. Mr. Flood explained re-locating the NOC to a facility in Houston would cut cost on the Technology Center since by reducing square footage and infrastructure. Mr. Flood explained the District is estimating \$65-70k annually for rack space for the NOC.
- B. Mr. Flood stated the bids have come in \$3 million over the Board approved budget of \$11 million for the Technology Center. Ms. Garcia noted that by co-locating, the District would be able to work at a \$9.3 million budget.

2925 Briarpark, Suite 400 Houston, TX 77042 **TEL 713.266.6900** www.lan-inc.com



MEETING MINUTES

 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT D. Mr. Flood said he hoped to move 50% of his equipment into the Houtson location and noted the data would travel from Houtson to Baytown via fiber. E. Mr. Flood then stated the build out cost would be approximately 550k and cost 550k and	PLANNING	C.	Mr. Flood stated he was in favor of co-locating the NOC, even though he understood a
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT noted the data would travel from Houston to Baytown via fiber. E. M. Flood the stated the build out cost would be approximately \$60k and cost \$60k annually for operations. He pointed out the District currently has \$2.5 million, not allotted to the Bond that can be used for cost such as the build out. Statism 5. Mr. Encjado then introduced the architects from Stantec tog over the schematic design for the Agriscience Facility was requesting an endorsement on. A.M. Ben Sauceda want to ver several sides showing the layout of the property and floor plans. Mrs. Renea Dilon confirmed there will be a dry detention pond on the Wallisville side of the sing stating that would be a more cost affective area. NOWORTH B. Ms. Laura Sachtleben confirmed there will be a dry detention pond on the Wallisville side of the sing stating that would be a more cost affective area. NANCONO D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the oxit skip and the savings of the avents of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings was significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planing native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the sol and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben bild explain the current plan was to way to a with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as sh	ENGINEERING		
 E. Mr. Flood them stared the build out cost would be approximately \$60k and cost \$60k annually for operations. He pointed out the District currently has \$2.5 million, not allotted to the Bond that can be used for cost such as the build out. Mr. Enclado them introduced the architects from Stantec to go over the schematic design for the Agriscience Facility that the District was requesting an endorsement on. A. Mr. Ben Stauceda went over several sides showing the layout of the property and floor plans. Mrs. Reea ablino confirmed there was no cross fancing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Mr. Ben Stauceda went over several sides showing the layout of the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Mr. Ben Stauceda went over several sides thowing the layout of the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Mr. Ben Stauceda went over several sides thowing the layout of the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Makecos M. MARCOS M. Makecos M. M. Beard saked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. M. Sachtleben stated there only the stated not property. M. Sachtleben stated the coult on tip was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. M. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience Facility schematics for the Agriscience Facility and theory and poprism and the would be aligneted accordingly on how bids are rec		D.	
 Ext. 1036 Ext. 1036 AUSTIN COLLEGE STATION DALLAS MF. Enojado then introduced the architects from Stantec to go over the schematic design for the Agriscience Facility that the District was requesting an endorsement on. A. Mr. Ben Sauceda went over severe stillades showing the layout of the property and floor plans. Mrs. Renea Dillon confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Mr. Ben Sauceda went over severe stillades showing the layout of the Wallisville side of the site, stating that would be a more cost fencing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Mr. Bara Sachtleben confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. M. Ms. Laura Sachtleben and the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to also also assist with the cost vin enjore that wall be outling that would be agrismicant. M. McCO Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant. M. M. Beard asked of the cost savings was significant. M. S. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. M. S. Sachtleben down invasive grasses. J. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben down invasive grasses. M. Baard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility on proget. M. Baard atted there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility went the future. M. Mr. Baard atted there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility went the future. M. Mr. Baard atted there might be r	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT		
 Est. 1935 Est. 1935 The food that can be used for cost such as the build out. S. Mr. Engl do then introduce the architects from Stantec to go over the schematic design for the Agriscience Facility that the District was requesting an endorsement on. A. Mr. Ben Sauceda went over several slides showing the layout of the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. Mr. Man Million S. Laura Sachtleben confirmed there wills no try detention pond on the Wallisville side of the site, stating that would be a more costs effective area. Pocenx J. Judge Coffey suggested animats be allowed to graze in the detention pond to assist with the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussed, but nothing had been finalized. MANCO D. Mr. Bard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated be could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested animats with agua-culture in the part of the part of the part of the property. Versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated be could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard astated there might be reason to expect complains about the Agriscience Facility when the that the sale and the adjustent project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sides outlining parts of the facility layout with certan al		E.	
 S. Mr. Enojado then introduced the architects from Stantec to go over the schematic design for the Agriscience Facility that the District was requesting an endorsement on. A. Mr. Ben Sauceda went over several sides showing the layout of the property and floor plans. Mrs. Renea Dillon confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. B. Ms. Laura Sachtleben confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property that will be used for the Agriscience Facility expansion project. B. Ms. Laura Sachtleben confirmed there was no cross fencitive area. C. Judge Coffey suggested animals be allowed to graze in the detention pond at the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussed, but nothing had Saw AWRCOS D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussions with the civil enjineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the sol and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility onstruction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben atteet the construction budget for the Agriscience Facility on thow bids are received dir the reas as a reported to a			
 AUSTIN TO CLIEGE STATION DALLAS FOR YORK WAS THE DATA OF THE DISTICT WORTH HOUSTON MALE AND THE ADALAS FOR YORTH HOUSTON MALE AND THE ADALAS TO THE ADALAS FOR YORTH HOUSTON AND DALLAS TO THE ADALAS T	Est. 1935	5. Mr. Eno	
 A. Mr. Ben Sauceda went over several slides showing the layout of the property and floor plans. Mrs. Renea Dillon confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property and floor plans. Mrs. Renea Dillon confirmed there will be a dry detention pond on the Wallisville side of the site, stating that would be a more cost effective area. B. Ms. Laura Sachtieben confirmed there will be a dry detention pond on the Wallisville side of the site, stating that would be a more cost effective area. Judge Coffey suggested animals be allowed to graze in the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the coil and new grayes. Judge Coffey suggested quac-ulture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance. Purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, Dustry utilizand the datemates that would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. Kr. Beard expressed concern for spending 54 million on the Agriscience Facility when threr are currently only 23 students, Dustry utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. However did not know it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. Une of the major alternates the some facis and dato oreprestore interns of future job opportunities and	AUSTIN		· · · · · ·
 PORT WORTH HOUSTON PLANS MARKOS MALA AGTICSCIPC STATISTICS AND AGTION CONTINUED THAT WILL BE USED for the AgTicsCIPCE PACIFILY expansion project. MALA LARS AGATURES AND AGTION CONTINUED THAT WILL BE AGTION CONTINUED AT A STATEMENT OF A STA		-	
 Houstron MMAM MIDMEST PHOENIX SACKAMENTO SACKAMENTO SAN AARCOS WACO B. Mis. Laura Sackheben confirmed there will be a dry detention pond on the Wallisville side of the site, stating that would be a more cost effective area. C. Judge Coffey suggested animals be allowed to graze in the detention pond to assist with the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussed, but nothing had been finalized. SAN MARCOS WACO D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtbeen stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. Ms. Sachtbeen pointed out sive grasses. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtbeen pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. Ms. Sachtbeen stated there onto project. Ms. Sachtbeen stated there construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtbeen stated orgram. He was also concerned about the stark and preating layou with certain altemates that would be lot. Ms. Sachtbeen stated commetive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates Could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtbeen state dorgram, the was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the estent that is being planned.			plans. Mrs. Renea Dillon confirmed there was no cross fencing on the property that will
 of the site, stating that would be a more cost effective area. C. Judge Coffey suggested animals be allowed to graze in the detention pond to assist with SAN ANTONIO SAN ANTONIO SAN MARCOS WACO D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invaries grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sities outilining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however dir not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned	HOUSTON		
 PHOENX SACRAMENTO SANATORIO (SANATORIO) C. Judge Coffey suggested animals be allowed to graze in the detention pond to assist with the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussed, but nothing had been finalized. D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students. District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard atfifted he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not how if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. U. Udg	МІАМІ	В.	
SACRAMENTO the pond's maintenance. Mrs. Dillon noted that had been discussed, but nothing had been finalized. SAN MINRCOS WACO WACO mrs. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben dim the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and ne asier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard stated there was a luge need to expand griscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Be	MIDWEST		
SAN ANTONIO been finalized. SAN MARCOS WACO SAN MARCOS Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben di explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Mr. Beard stated the obid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard darger during native, Beard as in advor of expanding the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned bout the standard operation costs of the finished racitity. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program. However di not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned.	PHOENIX	C.	
 MARCOS WACO D. Mr. Beard asked if the cost savings was significant enough to place the detention pond at the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction form the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some stated sould be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility and program. Ho was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard calified the was in favor of expanding the facility and program. However did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program. However did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program. However did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the fac			-
 WACO the most visible part of the property, versus moving it to a less noticeable location. Ms. Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Afr. Beard claritich ethere was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a better facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, howev		П	
 Sachtleben stated she could not give a number at this time, but after discussions with the civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some sildes outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated cacordingly on how bids are received. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanding the facility and program, however	WACO		
 civil engineer, noted the savings will indeed be significant. E. Ms. Angela Chandler suggested planting native, wetland plants in the dry pond to clean the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates Could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. J. Udge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes			· · · · ·
 the soil and keep down invasive grasses. F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard claffied he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the formittee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the pr			
 F. Judge Coffey suggested aqua-culture as an additional way to utilize the detention pond. Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. M. Mr. Flood confirmed ther		E.	
 Ms. Sachtleben pointed out she has worked with aqua-culture in the past and it would also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility sc		_	· · ·
 also add some additional upkeep costs. G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clayified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brenn		F.	
 G. Ms. Sachtleben did explain the current plan was to keep the dry pond as shallow as possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated use also end or expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, nessed 			
 possible for maintenance purposes and an easier transition for possible grazing options in the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion a vote was taken and the schematic design. 		G	
 the future. H. Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. M. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon March makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design nassed 		0.	
 Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received for the Transportation Center project. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design harse makes a second motion. 			
 for the Transportation Center project. I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 		Н.	Mr. Beard stated there might be reason to expect complaints about the Agriscience
 I. Ms. Sachtleben stated the construction budget for the Agriscience budget to be \$4 million. She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated here was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			Facility construction from the adjacent neighborhood, similar to what the District received
 She then showed some slides outlining parts of the facility layout with certain alternates that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 that would be bid. Ms. Sachtleben stated competitive bids would be taken for the complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey mores to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 		Ι.	
 complete project, but the alternates could be adjusted accordingly on how bids are received. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 Preceived. J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 J. One of the major alternates Ms. Sachtleben pointed out was a gravel trailer drive, in lieu of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon March makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 of a concrete drive. K. Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 		J.	
 there are currently only 23 students, District wide, currently utilizing the Agriscience Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design nassed 			
 Facility and program. He was also concerned about the standard operation costs of the finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 		К.	Mr. Beard expressed concern for spending \$4 million on the Agriscience Facility when
 finished facility. Mr. Beard clarified he was in favor of expanding the facility and program, however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 however did not know if it needed to be expanded to the extent that is being planned. L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 L. Judge Coffey reminded the Committee this was a project the 2013 Bond voters approved. Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 Ms. Chandler stated there was a huge need to expand agriscience in terms of future job opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. O. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 opportunities and she hopes a better facility and program will encourage students to participate. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. M. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 		L.	
 participate. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. M. Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum, students filter in and the program grows. M. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. M. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed 			
 N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. N. Mr. Flood confirmed there were security cameras currently installed in the existing agriscience facility. Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed. 		М.	Mr. O'Brien stated usually, when something is added to enhance course curriculum,
2925 Briarpark, Suite 400 Houston, TX 77042agriscience facility.TEL 713.266.6900Judge Coffey moves to endorse the Agriscience Facility schematic design, Mr. Brennon Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed			
Houston, TX 77042 TEL 713.266.6900 Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design passed	2925 Briamark, Suite 400	N.	· · · ·
TEL 713.266.6900 Marsh makes a second motion, a vote was taken and the schematic design, Mr. Brennon	Houston, TX 77042		÷ .
www.lan-inc.com		0.	
	www.lan-inc.com		משומה הומתכש מ שבנטות הוטנוטה, מ יטנב שמש נמתבה מות נווב שנובוומננג עבשועה שמשבנ.



MEETING MINUTES

 PLANNING
 P. Please note, Mr. Daryl Fontenot left the meeting early, leaving Mr. Brennon Marsh to lead the rest of the meeting.

 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
 Planning

 Est. 1935
 1. Next, Mr. Enojado stated the District is still working to generate the best floor plan solution for the Green Center project.

 AUSTIN
 2. Mr. Enojado said the District has walked the campuses with the vendor for the carpet project and

- he expects the District should receive proposals for the carpet project within a few weeks.
 3. Mr. Enojado noted the District was also analyzing the needs for the Stallworth Bleachers, explaining it was a small project to repair broken bleachers. He noted the Conveyances project, personal lifts, were also being assessed.
- 4. For the Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) Renovations and Repairs project, Mr. Enojado noted PBK had been hired for this job. Mr. Enojado explained the FCA list was something generated from all the campuses on what might need repaired and replaced within those buildings.

Project Groupings

1. Mr. Enojado briefly went over the Project Groupings slide, noting the \$4.5 million dollar bottom line.

Master Schedule

1. Mr. Enojado went over the Master Schedule, pointing out the black bars as completed projects, the green bars as projects in progress and the blue bars as projects in the planning stage.

Financial Recap

1. Mr. Enojado briefly went over the District financial slides, pointing out the 60% encumbrance, stating it will increase as there are only Conveyances and Stallworth Bleachers in the primary planning stage.

Follow Up Items

1. Mr. Marsh noted the next meeting will be held October 27th.

AUSTIN COLLEGE STATION DALLAS FORT WORTH HOUSTON MIAMI MIDWEST PHOENIX SACRAMENTO SAN ANTONIO SAN MARCOS

WACO

2925 Briarpark, Suite 400 Houston, TX 77042 TEL 713.266.6900 www.lan-inc.com